

## **RECOMMENDATION TABULATION**

|   | Broward County Fublic Scric  | 0015   |  |   |   |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| RFP#:   | 19-096E  | Tentative Board Meetin   | ng Date*: M  | ARCH 2019   |   |
| RFP Title:  | INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDER  | # Notified:  | 274  | # Downloaded:   | 17  |
|   |  | # of Responses Rec'd:  | 6  | # of "No Bids":   | 0   |
| For:  | INFORMATION & TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT  | RFP Opening Date :   | 11/13/18   |   |   |
| Fund:   | (School/Department)  I & T'S OPERATING BUDGET  | Advertised Date:   | 9/24/18  |   |   |
| & Warehousing S decision or intend- formal written pro formal written pro protest shall state District is closed s & Warehousing S decision shall pos Florida, (SBBC), Part VIII, Purchas  (*) The Cone o date stated above  FIVE PROPOSA COMMITTEE N  DIANE SHAPII JASON GREEN RICK REYNOL RUSSELL KAU TERESA MACI  M/WBE ADVIS  IN ACCORDA RECOMMEND  * ENA S | Recommendation (19) Select One RECOMMENDATION/TABULATION: Select Contervices and www.Demandstar.com @ 3:90 pm and will refed decision shall file a notice of protest, in writing, within 72 stest shall be filed within ten (10) days after the date the notion of the stable of the filed within ten (10) days after the date the notion of the stable of the facts and law upon which the protest is shall be excluded in the computation of the 72-hour time perievrices, 7720 West Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 323, Sunrat with the School Board, at the time of filing the formal writin an amount equal to one percent (1%) of the estimated value ing Policies, Section N, within the time allowed for filing a board of the filing as stated in the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT we is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the RECOMMENDATION of the stimated value is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the RECOMMENDATION of the stimated value is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the results of the stimated value in the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT we is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the stimated value in the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT we is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the stimated value in the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT we is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the stimated value in the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT we is tentative. Confirm with the Purchasing Agent of the stimated value in the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFP / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RFQ / HARD BIT with the ITB / RF | main posted for 72 hours. hours after the posting of the ce of protest is filed. Failurer. Section 120.57(3)(b), Flis based." Saturdays, Sunda od provided. Filings shall be ise, Florida 33351. Any per itten protest, a bond, payabe of the contract. Failure to ond shall constitute a waive.  D, is in effect until it is a ecord for the actual date of the contract. The contract of the contract of the contract. Failure to ond shall constitute a waive.  D, is in effect until it is a ecord for the actual date of the contract. The contract of th | Any person when notice of decret to file a notice of decret to file a notice or decret to file a notice or decret to file a notice at the office son who files able to The Schepost the bond for of the right to the Cone of Sche Cone of Sche Cone of Sche Cone of Schepost the Cone of S | no is adversely affected sision or intended decisice of protest or failure states that "The formal ays and days during who of the Director of Procum action protesting an isool Board of Broward required by SBBC Police of protest.  BBC. The Board medialence has concluded.  TED BY THE FOLLS  TOR | d by the ion. The to file a written hich the urement ntended County, by 3320,  eting  OWING |

The School Board of Broward County, Florida, prohibits any policy or procedure which results in discrimination on the basis of age, color, disability, gender expression, national origin, marital status, race, religion, sex or sexual orientation. Individuals who wish to file a discrimination complaint, may call the Executive Director, Benefits & EEO Compliance at 754-321-2150 or Teletype Machine (TTY) at 754-321-2158.

(Purchasing Agent)

Individuals with disabilities requesting accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) may call the Equal Educational Opportunities (EEO) at 754-321-2150 or Teletype Machine (TTY) at 754-321-2158.

By:

Harmon

Date:

December 3, 2019

### RECOMMENDATION TABULATION

### 19-051E BID REJECTION

## REJECT BID FROM COMCAST BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

PURSUANT TO SECTION 1, THE PROPOSER REFUSED TO AGREE TO SBBC'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS BY ADDING A CONDITION ON THE REQUIRED RESPONSE FORM STATING: "THE COMCAST ENTERPRISE MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT, ATTACHED TO THE RESPONSE SHALL GOVEN ANY AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES BETWEEN THE PARTIES. NO PART OF THE RFP OR RESPONSE SHALL BE DEEMED A CONTRACTUAL TERM UNLESS INCLUDED IN SAID AGREEMENT."

#### AS STATED IN SECTION 1:

"PROPOSER AGREES TO UNCONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONTENTS OF ALL PAGES IN THIS RFP, AND ALL APPENDICES, AND THE CONTENTS OF ANY ADDENDA RELEASED HERETO; PROPOSER AGREES TO BE BOUND TO ANY AND ALL SPECIFICATIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED IN THE RFP, AND ANY RELEASED ADDENDEA AND UNDERSTAND THAT THE FOLLOWING ARE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS RFP AND FAILURE TO COMPLY WILL RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION OF PROPOSAL SUBMITTED."

PROPOSER FAILED TO ANSWER MINIMUM ELGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS IN SECTION 4.2.

#### AS STATED IN SECTION 2.7:

"EVALUATION AND AWARD: ALL PROPOSALS RECEIVED MUST MEET THE MIMIMUM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS AS STATED IN SECTION 4.2 OF THE RFP IN ORDER TO BE FURTHER CONSIDERED FOR EVALUATION. FAILURE TO MEET THE MINIMUM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS SHALL RESULT IN DISQUALIFICATION OF ENTIRE PROPOSAL AND SHALL NOT BE CONSIDERED FOR FURTHER EVALUATION."

The School Board of Broward County, Florida, prohibits any policy or procedure which results in discrimination on the basis of age, color, disability, gender expression, national origin, marital status, race, religion, sex or sexual orientation. Individuals who wish to file a discrimination complaint, may call the Executive Director, Benefits & EEO Compliance at 754-321-2150 or Teletype Machine (TTY) at 754-321-2158.

# FINAL SCORE TABULATION SHEET

| Bid No:                | 19-096E                    |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Title of Bid:          | Internet Services Provider |
| Purchasing Agent Name: | Harmoni Clealand           |
| Bid Opening Date:      | November 13, 2013          |
| Evaluation Meeting:    | November 28, 2018          |

| Proposer's Name                | Total Score | Recommended for Award |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| AT&T Corp.                     | 68.2        |                       |  |
| ENA Services, LLC              | 84.9        | ✓                     |  |
| Granite Telecommunications LLC | 63.4        |                       |  |
| Hotwire Communications         | 48.7        |                       |  |
| Zayo Group, LLC                | 80.8        |                       |  |

# **SCORE DETAILS**

| Bid No:       | 19-096E                    |
|---------------|----------------------------|
| Title of Bid: | Internet Services Provider |

| Evaluators   | Diane  | Jason          | Rick      | Russell    | Teresa | Average<br>Points |
|--|--|----------------|-----------|------------|--------|-------------------|
| Qualifications & Experience - Maximum Points: 30                                   |  |                |           |            |        |                   |
| AT&T Corp.   | 30.00  | 27.00          | 29.00     | 26.00      | 30.00  | 28.4              |
| ENA Services, LLC  | 29.00  | 30.00          | 29.50     | 24.00      | 30.00  | 28.5              |
| Granite Telecommunications LLC   | 5.50   | 4.50           | 5.50      | 12.00      | 5.50   | 6.6               |
| Hotwire Communications   | 17.00  | 23.00          | 24.00     | 22.00      | 21.00  | 21.4              |
| Zayo Group, LLC  | 20.50  | 21.50          | 26.00     | 24.00      | 29.00  | 24.2              |
| Te   | chnical Spe  | cificatioins - | - Maximum | Points: 10 |        |                   |
| AT&T Corp.   | 10.00  | 10.00          | 10.00     | 9.00       | 10.00  | 9.8               |
| ENA Services, LLC  | 10.00  | 10.00          | 10.00     | 7.00       | 10.00  | 9.4               |
| Granite Telecommunications LLC   | 0.00   | 10.00          | 10.00     | 4.00       | 10.00  | 6.8               |
| Hotwire Communications   | 0.00   | 10.00          | 10.00     | 8.00       | 10.00  | 7.6               |
| Zayo Group, LLC  | 10.00  | 10.00          | 10.00     | 7.00       | 10.00  | 9.4               |
| Cost of Services - Maximum Points: 50  |  |                |           |            |        |                   |
| AT&T Corp.   |  |                |           | 20         |        |                   |
| ENA Services, LLC  | Per RFP, this section scored by PWS.                 |                |           |            |        | 37                |
| Granite Telecommunications LLC   |  |                |           | ·          |        | 50                |
| Hotwire Communications   | See PWS Evaluation Score Worksheet for more details. |                |           |            | 20     |                   |
| Zayo Group, LLC  |  |                |           |            | 47     |                   |
| S/M/WBE - Maximum Points: 10   |  |                |           |            |        |                   |
| AT&T Corp.   |  |                |           |            | 10     |                   |
| ENA Services, LLC  Per RFP, this section scored by Supplier Diversity Dept (SDOP). |  |                | 10        |            |        |                   |
| Granite Telecommunications LLC   |  |                |           |            |        | 0                 |
| Hotwire Communications   | See PWS Evaluation Score Worksheet for more details. |                |           |            |        | 0                 |
| Zayo Group, LLC  |  |                | 0         |            |        |                   |
| GRAND TOTAL - Maximum Points: 100  |  |                |           |            |        |                   |
| AT&T Corp. <b>68.2</b>   |  |                |           |            |        |                   |
| ENA Services, LLC 84.9   |  |                |           |            |        |                   |
| ranite Telecommunications LL   |  |                |           | 63.4       |        |                   |
| Hotwire Communications   | 48.7   |                |           |            |        |                   |
| Zayo Group, LLC  |  |                |           | 80.8       |        |                   |

# PWS EVALUATION SCORE WORKSHEET

## Note: ALL Sections below scored by Procurement & Warehousing Services (PWS)

| 19-096E                    |
|----------------------------|
| Internet Services Provider |
| Harmoni Clealand           |
| 50                         |
| Anne-Marie                 |
| 10                         |
|                            |

# From all Proposers, the LOWEST total cost is: \$2,886,234.60

| Proposer's Name                | S/M/WBE<br>Evaluation Points | Proposer's Total Cost | <b>Cost Evaluation Points</b> |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| AT&T Corp.                     | 10                           | \$ 7,201,167.60       | 20                            |  |
| ENA Services, LLC              | 10                           | \$ 3,900,000.00       | 37                            |  |
| Granite Telecommunications LLC | 0                            | \$ 2,886,234.60       | 50                            |  |
| Hotwire Communications         | 0                            | \$ 7,327,200.00       | 20                            |  |
| Zayo Group, LLC                | 0                            | \$ 3,060,000.00       | 47                            |  |